

# On Many-valued Equivalence and Distance Functions

Mircea Sularia

“Politehnica” University of Bucharest  
Department of Mathematics II  
313 Splaiul Independentei,  
77206 Bucharest 5  
ROMANIA  
e-mail: sularia@euler.math.pub.ro

**Abstract:** The variety of biresiduated algebras including the structures of D-algebra and MV-algebra was introduced together with a corresponding logical system. A many-valued space over a biresiduated algebra is a set equipped with an equivalence function and a distance function such that these functions are complementary. A cartesian closed category of many-valued spaces is presented.

**Keywords:** fuzzy set, MV-algebra, Heyting algebra, Brouwer algebra, D-algebra, residuated lattice, biresiduated algebra, equivalence function, distance function, category.

## Introduction

The notion of *fuzzy set* was introduced by L. A. Zadeh in 1965 as “a class of objects with a continuum of grades of membership” [12]. A fuzzy set  $A$  is characterized by a mapping  $f_A$  from  $X$  to  $[0, 1]$ , called *membership function* on  $X$ , where  $[0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$  is the complete bounded chain of positive real numbers. In this paper the acceptance of a fuzzy set is that of a couple  $(X, f)$ , where  $X$  is a set and  $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a function.

The notion of *L-set* including the notion of fuzzy set was introduced by J. A. Goguen as a couple  $(X, f)$ , where  $L$  is a lattice and  $f : X \rightarrow L$  is a function. Goguen considers that the *algebra of inexact concepts* is a *residuated lattice* [6]. Adjoint couples and residuated lattices are often used in the fuzzy set theory [11].

The theory of *MV-algebras* is a mathematical development arising from algebraic foundations of many-valued reasoning [3, 4].

An MV-algebra has both a structure of residuated lattice and a structure of dual residuated lattice.

In order to identify a standard logical system which includes features common to some basic many-valued logical systems, a variety of *biresiduated algebras* was introduced in [10]. This class of biresiduated algebras includes *Heyting algebras* [1, 2], *Brouwer algebras* and *MV-algebras*. A *D-algebra* [9, 10] is a structure

isomorphic to a subdirect product between a Heyting algebra and a Brouwer algebra. Thus, every D-algebra is also a biresiduated algebra.

A general description of the connection between some basic algebraic structures from the category of biresiduated algebras, is given.

The notions of *equivalence and distance functions on a set* over a biresiduated algebra are introduced together with the notion of *many-valued space*. Different examples of these notions are given. The purpose of this paper is to present a *cartesian closed category of many-valued spaces over a complete D-algebra*. This category can be considered as a starting point leading to a new suitable mathematical development of the fuzzy set theory.

## 1. Basic algebraic structures

### 1.1 Biresiduated algebras

Let  $\underline{K}$  be the class of algebras

$\mathbf{A} = (A, \wedge, \vee, \otimes, \rightarrow, \oplus, -, \neg, 0, 1)$   
of type  $(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0)$ .

A *biresiduated algebra* is an algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  of  $\underline{K}$  with seven operations  $\wedge$  (*meet*),  $\vee$  (*join*),  $\otimes$  (*multiplication*),  $\rightarrow$  (*residuation*),  $\oplus$  (*addition*),  $-$  (*dual residuation*),  $\neg$  (*negation*) and two constants  $0, 1 \in A$  such that:

(BR1)  $(A, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$  is a *bounded distributive lattice with the minimum element 0 and the maximum element 1*.

(BR2)  $(A, \otimes)$  and  $(A, \oplus)$  are *commutative semigroups*.

(BR3) The following equations hold:

- (i)  $x \vee (x \otimes y) = x$
- (i<sup>o</sup>)  $x \wedge (x \oplus y) = x$
- (ii)  $x \otimes (y \vee z) = (x \otimes y) \vee (x \otimes z)$
- (ii<sup>o</sup>)  $x \oplus (y \wedge z) = (x \oplus y) \wedge (x \oplus z)$

$$(iii) \quad x \otimes (y \wedge z) = [(x \otimes y) \wedge (x \otimes z)] \otimes 1$$

$$(iii^o) \quad x \oplus (y \vee z) = [(x \oplus y) \vee (x \oplus z)] \oplus 0$$

$$(iv) \quad (x \otimes y) \otimes 1 = x \otimes y$$

$$(iv^o) \quad (x \oplus y) \oplus 0 = x \oplus y$$

$$(v) \quad (x \otimes y) \oplus 0 = (x \oplus 0) \otimes (y \oplus 0)$$

$$(v^o) \quad (x \oplus y) \otimes 1 = (x \otimes 1) \oplus (y \otimes 1)$$

$$(vi) \quad (x \rightarrow y) \otimes 1 = x \rightarrow y;$$

$$(vi^o) \quad (x - y) \oplus 0 = x - y;$$

$$(vii) \quad (x \rightarrow y) \oplus 0 = \neg(x - y)$$

$$(vii^o) \quad (x - y) \otimes 1 = \neg(x \rightarrow y)$$

$$(viii) \quad \neg x = x \rightarrow 0$$

$$(viii^o) \quad \neg x = 1 - x$$

$$(ix) \quad x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = (x \otimes y) \rightarrow z$$

$$(ix^o) \quad (x - y) - z = x - (y \oplus z)$$

$$(x) \quad x \otimes (x \rightarrow y) = (x \wedge y) \otimes 1$$

$$(x^o) \quad (x - y) \oplus y = (x \vee y) \oplus 0$$

$$(xi) \quad (x \wedge y) \rightarrow x = 1$$

$$(xi^o) \quad x - (x \vee y) = 0$$

$$(xii) \quad (x \otimes 1) \vee \neg x = x \vee \neg x$$

$$(xii^o) \quad (x \oplus 0) \wedge \neg x = x \wedge \neg x$$

Let  $\underline{BR}$  be the class of biresiduated algebras.

## 1.2 Residuated algebras

A biresiduated algebra will be called *residuated algebra* if verifying:

$$(R1) \quad x \otimes 1 = x.$$

The following condition holds in every residuated algebra:

$$z \leq x \rightarrow y \text{ iff } z \otimes x \leq y.$$

Let  $\underline{R}$  be the class of residuated algebras.

## 1.3 Heyting algebras

A *Heyting algebra* is a system

$$(A, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$$

such that  $(A, \wedge, \vee, 0)$  is a relatively pseudo-complemented lattice with the minimum element 0, the binary operation of relative pseudocomplementation  $\rightarrow$  and  $0, 1 \in A$  satisfying  $1 = 0 \rightarrow 0$  and for every  $x, y, z \in A$ :

$$z \leq x \rightarrow y \text{ iff } z \wedge x \leq y.$$

Let  $\underline{H}$  be the class of Heyting algebras and  $\underline{H}^*$  be the class of biresiduated algebras verifying the following equation:

$$(R2) \quad x \otimes y = x \wedge y.$$

The next result expresses the relation between the classes of algebras  $\underline{H}^*$ ,  $\underline{R}$ ,  $\underline{BR}$  and  $\underline{H}$ .

## 1.4 Proposition

- (i)  $\underline{H}^* \subset \underline{R} \subset \underline{BR}$ .
- (ii) The algebraic categories associated with the classes  $\underline{H}$  and  $\underline{H}^*$  are isomorphic.

### *Proof*

- (i) Relation 1.3(R2) implies 1.2(R1).
- (ii) A biresiduated algebra of  $\underline{H}^*$  can be associated with every Heyting algebra

$$(A, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, 0, 1) \in \underline{H}$$

such that it verifies 1.3(R2) together with the following equations:

$$\neg x = x \rightarrow 0;$$

$$x - y = \neg(x \rightarrow y);$$

$$x \oplus y = \neg\neg(x \vee y).$$

Using this correspondence an isomorphism between the algebraic categories associated with  $\underline{H}$  and  $\underline{H}^*$  is reached.  $\square$

## 1.2° Dual residuated algebras

A biresiduated algebra will be called *dual residuated algebra* if verifying:

$$(R1^o) \quad x \oplus 0 = x.$$

The following condition holds in every dual residuated algebra:

$$x - y \leq z \text{ iff } x \leq y \oplus z.$$

Let  $\underline{R}^o$  be the class of dual residuated algebras.

## 1.3° Brouwer algebras

A *Brouwer algebra* is a system

$$(A, \wedge, \vee, -, 0, 1)$$

such that  $(A, \wedge, \vee, 1)$  is a dual Heyting lattice with a binary operation  $-$  (relative pseudo-subtraction) and  $0, 1 \in A$  satisfying  $1 = 0 - 0$  and for every  $x, y, z \in A$ :

$$x - y \leq z \text{ iff } x \leq y \vee z.$$

Let  $\underline{Br}$  be the class of Brouwer algebras and  $\underline{Br}^*$  be the class of biresiduated algebras verifying the following equation:

$$(R2^{\circ}) x \oplus y = x \vee y.$$

The next result expresses the relation between the classes of algebras  $\underline{Br}^*$ ,  $\underline{R}^{\circ}$ ,  $\underline{BR}$  and  $\underline{Br}$ .

### 1.4<sup>o</sup> Proposition

- (i)  $\underline{Br}^* \subset \underline{R}^{\circ} \subset \underline{BR}$ .
- (ii) The algebraic categories associated with the classes  $\underline{Br}$  and  $\underline{Br}^*$  are isomorphic.

#### Proof

- (i) Relation 1.3<sup>o</sup>(R2<sup>o</sup>) implies 1.2<sup>o</sup>(R1<sup>o</sup>).
- (ii) A biresiduated algebra of  $\underline{Br}^*$  can be associated with every Brouwer algebra

$$(A, \wedge, \vee, \neg, 0, 1) \in \underline{Br}$$

such that it verifies 1.3<sup>o</sup>(R2<sup>o</sup>) together with the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \neg x &= 1 - x; \\ x \rightarrow y &= \neg(x - y); \\ x \otimes y &= \neg\neg(x \wedge y). \end{aligned}$$

Using this correspondence an isomorphism between the algebraic categories associated with  $\underline{Br}$  and  $\underline{Br}^*$  is obtained.  $\square$

### 1.5 MV-algebras

An MV-algebra [4] is a system  $(A, \oplus, \neg, 0)$  of type  $(2, 1, 0)$  such that the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MV1)} & x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z; \\ \text{MV2)} & x \oplus y = y \oplus x; \\ \text{MV3)} & x \oplus 0 = x; \\ \text{MV4)} & \neg\neg x = x; \\ \text{MV5)} & x \oplus \neg 0 = \neg 0; \\ \text{MV6)} & \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y = \neg(\neg y \oplus x) \oplus x. \end{aligned}$$

From MV1)-MV3) it follows that  $(A, \oplus, 0)$  is an *abelian monoid*. We define a constant 1 and the operations  $\otimes$ ,  $\rightarrow$  and  $-$  together with a binary relation  $\leq$  on A as follows, for any two elements x, y of A:

- (1)  $1 = \neg 0$ ;
- (2)  $x \otimes y = \neg(\neg x \oplus \neg y)$ ;
- (3)  $x \rightarrow y = \neg x \oplus y$ ;
- (4)  $x - y = x \otimes \neg y$ ;
- (5)  $x \leq y$  iff  $\neg x \oplus y = 1$ .

Then  $\leq$  is an order relation which determines on A a structure of *distributive lattice with the smallest element 0 and the greatest element 1*,  $(A, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ , such that:

- (6)  $x \vee y = (x \otimes \neg y) \oplus y$ ;
- (7)  $x \wedge y = \neg(\neg x \vee \neg y)$ .

Let  $\underline{MV}$  be the class of MV-algebras and  $\underline{MV}^*$  be the class of algebras

$$\mathbf{A}^* = (A, \wedge, \vee, \otimes, \rightarrow, \oplus, \neg, \neg, 0, 1)$$

associated with an MV-algebra

$$\mathbf{A} = (A, \oplus, \neg, 0),$$

where the operations  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\otimes$ ,  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\neg$  and 1 are defined as above. The next result expresses the relation between the classes  $\underline{MV}$ ,  $\underline{MV}^*$  and  $\underline{BR}$ .

### 1.6 Proposition

- (i)  $\underline{MV}^* = \underline{R} \cap \underline{R}^{\circ} \subset \underline{BR}$ .
- (ii) The algebraic categories associated with the classes  $\underline{MV}$  and  $\underline{MV}^*$  are isomorphic.

#### Proof

- (i) Every algebra  $\mathbf{A}^* \in \underline{MV}^*$  associated with an MV-algebra  $\mathbf{A} \in \underline{MV}$  and defined as in 1.5 is a biresiduated algebra (see Definition 1.1) satisfying equations 1.2(R1) and 1.2<sup>o</sup>(R1<sup>o</sup>). The following specific relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} x \wedge y &= x \otimes (x \rightarrow y); \\ x \vee y &= (x - y) \oplus y; \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) Using the precedent correspondence an isomorphism between the algebraic categories associated with  $\underline{MV}$  and  $\underline{MV}^*$  can be obtained.  $\square$

The result below was established in [10].

### 1.7 Theorem

The class  $\underline{BR}$  of biresiduated algebras is the variety of algebras of  $\underline{K}$  generated by  $\underline{R} \cup \underline{R}^{\circ}$ .

### 1.8 Boolean algebras

A Boolean algebra [8] is a system

$$(A, \wedge, \vee, \neg, 0, 1)$$

of type  $(2, 2, 1, 0, 0)$  such that  $(A, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$  is a bounded distributive lattice and it satisfies the equations  $x \wedge \neg x = 0$  and  $x \vee \neg x = 1$ .

Let  $\underline{B}$  be the class of Boolean algebras and  $\underline{B}^*$  be the class of algebras  $\mathbf{A} \in \underline{K}$  associated with Boolean algebras  $(A, \wedge, \vee, \neg, 0, 1)$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} x \otimes y &= x \wedge y; \\ x \rightarrow y &= \neg x \vee y; \\ x \oplus y &= x \vee y; \\ x - y &= x \wedge \neg y. \end{aligned}$$

### 1.9 D-algebras

A D-algebra [9] is a system

$$\mathbf{A} = (A, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \neg, 0, 1)$$

of type  $(2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0)$  such that it is isomorphic to a subdirect product of two structures

$$\mathbf{H} = (\mathbf{H}, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, -, 0, 1)$$

and

$$\mathbf{Br} = (\mathbf{Br}, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, -, 0, 1),$$

where  $(\mathbf{H}, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$  is a Heyting algebra such that  $x - y = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 0$ , for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{H}$  and  $(\mathbf{Br}, \wedge, \vee, -, 0, 1)$  is a Brouwer algebra such that  $x \rightarrow y = 1 - (x - y)$ , for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{Br}$ .

Let  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}$  be the class of D-algebras and  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}^*$  be the class of biresiduated algebras  $\mathbf{A} \in \underline{\mathbf{BR}}$  such that the following equations hold:

$$(D1) x \otimes y = (x \wedge y) \otimes 1;$$

$$(D2) x \oplus y = (x \vee y) \oplus 0.$$

Then the algebraic categories associated with  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}$  and  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}^*$  are isomorphic.

The structures of Boolean algebra, Heyting algebra and Brouwer algebra are related to the structure of D-algebra as follows:

### 1.10 Theorem

(i)  $\underline{\mathbf{B}}^* = \underline{\mathbf{H}}^* \cap \underline{\mathbf{Br}}^* \subset \underline{\mathbf{D}}^* \subset \underline{\mathbf{BR}}$ .

(ii)  $\underline{\mathbf{D}}^*$  is a variety of biresiduated algebras generated by  $\underline{\mathbf{H}}^* \cup \underline{\mathbf{Br}}^*$ .

## 2. Many-valued spaces over a biresiduated algebra

Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mathbf{A}$  be a biresiduated algebra.

In this Section the notions of *equivalence and distance functions* on  $X$  and the term of *many-valued space over  $\mathbf{A}$*  will be introduced.

### 2.1 Definition

An *equivalence function* on  $X$  over  $\mathbf{A}$  is a mapping  $e : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \otimes 1$  such that the following conditions hold for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

$$(i) e(x, x) = 1;$$

$$(ii) e(x, y) = e(y, x);$$

$$(iii) e(x, y) \otimes e(y, z) \leq e(x, z).$$

### 2.2 Definition

A *distance function* on  $X$  over  $\mathbf{A}$  is a mapping

$$d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \oplus 0$$

such that the following conditions hold for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

$$(i) d(x, x) = 0;$$

$$(ii) d(x, y) = d(y, x);$$

$$(iii) d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) \oplus d(y, z).$$

### 2.3 Definition

A *many-valued space* over  $\mathbf{A}$  with the carrier set  $X$  (called more simply an  $\mathbf{A}$ -valued space) is a system  $\mathcal{X} = (X, e, d)$  such that

$$e : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \otimes 1$$

is an equivalence function on  $X$  over  $\mathbf{A}$ ,

$$d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \oplus 0$$

is a distance function on  $X$  over  $\mathbf{A}$  and the following conditions hold for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$(i) e(x, y) \otimes d(x, y) = 0;$$

$$(ii) e(x, y) \oplus d(x, y) = 1.$$

### 2.4 Example

Let  $R \subseteq X \times X$  be an equivalence relation on  $X$ ,

$$e_R = \alpha_R : X \times X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

be the Boolean characteristic function of  $R$ , i.e.

$$e_R(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (x, y) \in R \\ 0, & \text{if } (x, y) \notin R \end{cases}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and

$$d_R = -\alpha_R : X \times X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

be the Boolean complement of  $\alpha_R$ , i.e.

$$d_R(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (x, y) \in R \\ 1, & \text{if } (x, y) \notin R \end{cases}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Then the standard  $\mathbf{A}$ -valued space associated with  $R$  is the triplet

$$\mathcal{X}[R] = (X, e_R, d_R).$$

Thus, the standard  $\mathbf{A}$ -valued space associated with the identity relation  $R$  on  $X$ ,

$$R = \Delta = \{(x, x) / x \in X\},$$

is the system

$$\mathcal{X}[\Delta] = (X, e_\Delta, d_\Delta)$$

where for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$e_\Delta(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = y \\ 0, & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

and

$$d_\Delta(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = y \\ 1, & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

## 2.5 Example

Let

$$e_A : A \times A \rightarrow A \otimes 1$$

and

$$d_A : A \times A \rightarrow A \oplus 0$$

be the mappings defined for all  $x, y \in A$  by

$$e_A(x, y) = (x \rightarrow y) \otimes (y \rightarrow x);$$

$$d_A(x, y) = (x - y) \oplus (y - x).$$

Then the standard  $A$ -valued space associated with  $A$  is the triplet

$$\mathcal{A} = (A, e_A, d_A).$$

The mapping  $e_A$  is called the *equivalence function on  $A$*  and the mapping  $d_A$  is called the *distance function on  $A$* .

## 2.6 Example

If  $A \in \underline{D}^*$  is associated with a *D-algebra*

$$(A, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, -, 0, 1)$$

then the equivalence and distance functions on  $A$  are defined by:

$$e_A(x, y) = [(x \rightarrow y) \wedge (y \rightarrow x)] \otimes 1;$$

$$d_A(x, y) = [(x - y) \vee (y - x)] \oplus 0,$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ .

If  $A \in \underline{H}^* \subset \underline{D}^*$  is associated with a *Heyting algebra*  $(A, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$  then for all  $x, y \in A$ :

$$e_A(x, y) = (x \rightarrow y) \wedge (y \rightarrow x);$$

$$d_A(x, y) = \neg \neg [ \neg(x \rightarrow y) \vee \neg(y \rightarrow x) ],$$

where  $\neg u = u \rightarrow 0, \forall u \in A$ . Then concrete expressions of equivalence and distance functions on  $A$  can be obtained, if  $A$  is associated with the complete Heyting algebra of open subsets of a topological space.

If  $A \in \underline{Br}^* \subset \underline{D}^*$  is associated with a *Brouwer algebra*  $(A, \wedge, \vee, -, 0, 1)$  then for all  $x, y \in A$ :

$$e_A(x, y) = \neg \neg [ \neg(x - y) \wedge \neg(y - x) ];$$

$$d_A(x, y) = (x - y) \vee (y - x),$$

where  $\neg u = 1 - u, \forall u \in A$ . Then concrete expressions of equivalence and distance functions on  $A$  can be also obtained, if  $A$  is associated with the complete Brouwer algebra of closed subsets of a topological space.

If  $A \in \underline{B}^* \subset \underline{D}^*$  is associated with a *Boolean algebra*  $(A, \wedge, \vee, \neg, 0, 1)$  then for all  $x, y \in A$ :

$$e_A(x, y) = (\neg x \vee y) \wedge (\neg y \vee x);$$

$$d_A(x, y) = (x \wedge \neg y) \vee (y \wedge \neg x).$$

## 2.7 Example

Let  $A$  be the *Lukasiewicz structure* on the real unit interval  $[0, 1]$  i.e. for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ :

$$x \wedge y = \min(x, y);$$

$$x \vee y = \max(x, y);$$

$$x \otimes y = \max(0, x + y - 1);$$

$$x \rightarrow y = \min(1, 1 - x + y);$$

$$x \oplus y = \min(1, x + y);$$

$$x - y = \max(0, x - y);$$

$$\neg x = 1 - x,$$

where in the second member of the precedent relations  $+$  and  $-$  are the usual operations of addition and subtraction of real numbers. Then the following relations hold:

$$e_A(x, y) = 1 - |x - y|;$$

$$d_A(x, y) = |x - y|.$$

Therefore, the distance function  $d_A$  is the *usual distance* on  $[0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and the equivalence function  $e_A$  is the negation of  $d_A$ .

## 2.8 Example

Let  $A$  be a structure of biresiduated algebra on the real unit interval  $[0, 1]$  defined by the following relations for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ :

$$x \wedge y = \min(x, y);$$

$$x \vee y = \max(x, y);$$

$$x \otimes y = x \cdot y;$$

$$x \rightarrow y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \leq y \\ \frac{y}{x}, & \text{if } x > y \end{cases};$$

$$x \oplus y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \vee y \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x \vee y = 0 \end{cases};$$

$$x - y = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \leq y \text{ or } 0 < y < x \\ 1, & \text{if } 0 = y < x \end{cases};$$

$$\neg x = x \rightarrow 0 = 1 - x = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x \neq 0 \end{cases};$$

where in the second member of the precedent relations  $x \cdot y$  is the multiplication of  $x$  by  $y$  and

$\frac{y}{x}$  is the division of  $y$  by  $x \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then the following relations hold:

$$e_A(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = y = 0 \\ \frac{x \wedge y}{x \vee y}, & \text{if } x \neq 0 \text{ or } y \neq 0 \end{cases};$$

$$d_A(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (x = y = 0) \text{ or } (x \cdot y \neq 0) \\ 1, & \text{if } (x = 0 < y) \text{ or } (y = 0 < x) \end{cases}.$$

## 2.9 Example

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a structure of biresiduated algebra on the real unit interval  $[0, 1]$  defined by the following relations for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ :

$$x \wedge y = \min(x, y);$$

$$x \vee y = \max(x, y);$$

$$x \otimes y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \wedge y = 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } x \wedge y \neq 1 \end{cases};$$

$$x \rightarrow y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \leq y \text{ or } y < x < 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } y < 1 = x \end{cases};$$

$$x \oplus y = x + y - x \cdot y;$$

$$x - y = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \leq y \\ \frac{x - y}{1 - y}, & \text{if } x > y \end{cases};$$

$$\neg x = 1 - x = x \rightarrow 0 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } x \neq 1 \end{cases}.$$

The following relations will then hold:

$$e_A(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (x = y = 1) \text{ or } x \vee y \neq 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } (x < 1 = y) \text{ or } (y < 1 = x) \end{cases};$$

$$d_A(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = y = 1 \\ \frac{|x - y|}{1 - (x \wedge y)}, & \text{if } x \wedge y \neq 1 \end{cases}.$$

## 2.10 Example

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be the structure of biresiduated algebra on the real unit interval  $[0, 1]$  defined as in Example 2.8. Suppose that  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p > 1$ . Define the mapping

$$e_p : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  by

$$e_p(x, y) = p^{-|x - y|}.$$

It follows that for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$

$$e_p(x, y) \cdot e_p(y, z) \leq e_p(x, z).$$

Thus  $e_p$  is an equivalence function on  $\mathbb{R}$  over  $\mathbf{A}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be the structure of biresiduated algebra on the real unit interval  $[0, 1]$  defined as in Example 2.9. Define the mapping

$$d_p : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  by

$$d_p(x, y) = 1 - e_p(x, y) = 1 - p^{-|x - y|}.$$

Then  $d_p$  is a distance function on  $\mathbb{R}$  over  $\mathbf{A}$ .  $\square$

The next section is the final part of this paper and it presents a notion of morphism and a corresponding category of  $\mathbf{A}$ -valued spaces.

## 3. The category $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a biresiduated algebra.

If  $X, Y, Z$  are sets and  $f : X \rightarrow Y, g : Y \rightarrow Z$  are functions then  $g \cdot f : X \rightarrow Z$  is the function defined by  $(g \cdot f)(x) = g(f(x))$ , for all  $x \in X$ . For all sets  $X$  and  $Y$ , let  $[X, Y]$  be the set of all functions from  $X$  to  $Y$ . A *specific terminology used in fuzzy set theory* [7] will be adopted in this section. A function  $\mu \in [X, \mathbf{A}]$  is called an  $\mathbf{A}$ -subset of  $X$ . For all  $f \in [X, Y]$ , if  $\nu \in [Y, \mathbf{A}]$  is an  $\mathbf{A}$ -subset of  $Y$  then  $\nu \cdot f \in [X, \mathbf{A}]$  is called the *inverse image of  $\nu$  under  $f$* .

The next definition introduces a notion of morphism which makes the class  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$  of all  $\mathbf{A}$ -valued spaces be a category.

### 3.1 Definition

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, e_X, d_X)$  and  $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, e_Y, d_Y)$  be two  $\mathbf{A}$ -valued spaces. A *morphism from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{Y}$*  is a function

$$f : X \rightarrow Y$$

such that the following conditions hold for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ :

- (i)  $e_X(x_1, x_2) \otimes e_Y(f(x_1), y) \leq e_Y(f(x_2), y)$ ;
- (ii)  $d_Y(f(x_2), y) \leq d_Y(f(x_1), y) \oplus d_X(x_1, x_2)$ .

The set of all morphisms from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{Y}$  will be denoted by  $Hom(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ .

### 3.2 Definition

Let  $f \in Hom(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  and  $g \in Hom(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$  be morphisms, where  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z} \in \underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$ . Then

$$g \cdot f \in Hom(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z})$$

and it is called the *product of the couple  $(g, f)$* . The class  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$  and the class of all morphisms

together with this product of morphisms is a category denoted by  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$ .

The next definition introduces the notion of monotone  $\mathbf{A}$ -subset of an  $\mathbf{A}$ -valued space and it will be used to characterize morphisms.

### 3.3 Definition

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, e, d) \in \underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$ . A monotone  $\mathbf{A}$ -subset of  $\mathcal{X}$  is a function

$$\mu : X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$$

such that the following conditions hold for all  $x, y \in X$ :

- (i)  $\mu(x) \otimes e(x, y) \leq \mu(y)$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu(y) \leq \mu(x) \oplus d(x, y)$ .

The set of all monotone  $\mathbf{A}$ -subsets of  $\mathcal{X}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{X}]$ .

### 3.4 Lemma

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, e, d) \in \underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$  and  $x \in X$ . Define two functions

$$e[x] : X \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \text{ and } d[x] : X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$$

such that for all  $y \in X$ :

$$\begin{aligned} e[x](y) &= e(x, y); \\ d[x](y) &= d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $e[x], d[x] \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{X}]$ .

### Proof

Now we show that  $e[x] \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{X}]$ . The functions  $e$  and  $d$  satisfy all the conditions 2.1(i)-(iii), 2.2(i)-(iii), 2.3(i) and 2.3(ii). Let  $x', y \in X$ . From Definition 2.1 (ii) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad e[x](x') \otimes e(x', y) &= e(x, x') \otimes e(x', y) \\ &\leq e(x, y) \\ &= e[x](y). \end{aligned}$$

From Definition 2.2 (ii) and the relation

$$(\forall a \in \mathbf{A}) \ a \oplus \neg a = 1$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= d(x, x') \oplus \neg d(x, x') \\ &\leq d(x, y) \oplus d(x', y) \oplus \neg d(x, x'). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\neg d(x, y) \leq \neg d(x, x') \oplus d(x', y),$$

but the conditions 2.3(i) and 2.3(ii) imply

$$(\forall x, y \in X) \ \neg d(x, y) = e(x, y) \oplus 0,$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &= \neg d(x, y) \\ &\leq (e(x, x') \oplus 0) \oplus d(x', y) \\ &= e[x](x') \oplus d(x', y). \end{aligned}$$

The relations (1) and (2) imply  $e[x] \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{X}]$ .

The other condition  $d[x] \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{X}]$  follows using similar arguments.  $\square$

The next result characterizes morphisms as functions preserving the property of monotony by making inverse images.

### 3.5 Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, e_X, d_X)$  and  $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, e_Y, d_Y)$  be two objects of  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ ;
- (ii)  $v \cdot f \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{X}]$ , for all  $v \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{Y}]$ .

### Proof

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Suppose that 3.5 (i) holds. Using Definitions 2.3 and 3.1 this implies that for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ :

- (1)  $e_X(x_1, x_2) \leq e_Y(f(x_2), f(x_1))$ ;
- (2)  $d_Y(f(x_2), f(x_1)) \leq d_X(x_1, x_2)$ .

Let  $v \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{Y}]$  i.e.  $v : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is a function such that for all  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ :

- (3)  $v(y_1) \otimes e_Y(y_1, y_2) \leq v(y_2)$ ;
- (4)  $v(y_2) \leq v(y_1) \oplus d_Y(y_1, y_2)$ .

Suppose that  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Using (3) and (4) and the properties of the operations  $\otimes$  and  $\oplus$  in  $\mathbf{A}$ , from (1) and (2) it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} v(f(x_1)) \otimes e_X(x_1, x_2) &\leq v(f(x_1)) \otimes e_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \\ &\leq v(f(x_2)); \\ v(f(x_2)) &\leq v(f(x_1)) \oplus d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \\ &\leq v(f(x_1)) \oplus d_X(x_1, x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $v \cdot f \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{X}]$ . This shows that the condition 3.5 (ii) holds.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Suppose that 3.5 (ii) holds. Using Lemma 3.4 it follows that for all  $x_1 \in X$ , the functions

$$e_Y[f(x_1)] : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$$

and

$$d_Y[f(x_1)] : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$$

are monotone  $\mathbf{A}$ -subsets of  $\mathcal{Y}$ . From 3.5 (ii) it follows that

$$e_Y[f(x_1)] \cdot f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$$

and

$$d_Y[f(x_1)] \cdot f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$$

are monotone  $\mathbf{A}$ -subsets of  $\mathcal{X}$ . This implies that the following relations hold for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad e_X(x_1, x_2) &= e_X(x_1, x_2) \otimes 1 \\ &= e_X(x_1, x_2) \otimes e_Y(f(x_1), f(x_1)) \\ &= e_X(x_1, x_2) \otimes (e_Y[f(x_1)] \cdot f)(x_1) \\ &\leq (e_Y[f(x_1)] \cdot f)(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$= e_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)).$$

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) &= (d_Y[f(x_1)] \cdot f)(x_2) \\ &\leq d_X(x_1, x_2) \oplus (d_Y[f(x_1)] \cdot f)(x_1) \\ &= d_X(x_1, x_2) \oplus d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_1)) \\ &= d_X(x_1, x_2) \oplus 0 \\ &= d_X(x_1, x_2). \end{aligned}$$

From (5) and (6) it follows that  $f$  verifies the conditions from Definition 3.1, i.e. 3.5 (i) holds. This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3.6 Example

Let  $\mathbf{2}$  be a biresiduated algebra having precisely two elements 0 and 1. Suppose that  $R \subseteq X \times X$  is an equivalence relation on  $X$ . Let

$$\mathcal{X}[R] = (X, e_R, d_R)$$

be the standard  $\mathbf{2}$ -valued space associated with  $R$  defined as in example 2.4. Then  $(X, d_R)$  is a metric space such that  $D \subseteq X$  is open iff  $D$  is  $R$ -monotone i.e. the following condition holds for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$x \in D \text{ and } (x, y) \in R \text{ imply } y \in D.$$

Using Definition 3.3, it follows that the following condition holds for all  $D \subseteq X$ :

$$D \text{ is } R\text{-monotone iff } \mu_D \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{X}[R]],$$

where  $\mu_D : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is the characteristic function of  $D$  defined for all  $x \in X$  by

$$\mu_D(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in D \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin D \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the set of all monotone  $\mathbf{2}$ -subsets of  $\mathcal{X}[R]$  can be identified with the set of all open sets of the metric space  $(X, d_R)$ .

Suppose that

$$\mathcal{Y}[R'] = (Y, e_{R'}, d_{R'})$$

is another  $\mathbf{2}$ -valued space associated with an equivalence relation  $R'$  on  $Y$ . From Proposition 3.5 it follows that the following conditions are equivalent for any function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ :

$$(i) \quad f \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}[R], \mathcal{Y}[R']);$$

(ii)  $f$  is a continuous function from the metric space  $(X, d_R)$  to the metric space  $(Y, d_{R'})$ .

Therefore, the category  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$  includes all  $\mathbf{2}$ -valued spaces associated with equivalence relations on sets such that the notion of morphism between  $\mathbf{A}$ -valued spaces is an extension of the notion of continuous functions

between standard metric spaces associated with these equivalence relations.

### 3.7 Example

Let  $\mathcal{X}_1 = (X, e_1, d_1)$  and  $\mathcal{X}_2 = (X, e_2, d_2)$  be two  $\mathbf{A}$ -valued spaces having the same carrier set  $X$  and  $1_X : X \rightarrow X$  be the identity mapping on  $X$ . Define  $\mathcal{X}_1 < \mathcal{X}_2$  iff  $1_X \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2)$  i.e. the following conditions hold for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(x, y) &\leq e_2(x, y); \\ d_2(x, y) &\leq d_1(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mu : X \rightarrow A$  be any function. Define two functions

$$e_\mu, e_\mu^* : X \times X \rightarrow A \otimes 1$$

such that for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$e_\mu(x, y) = e_A(\mu(x), \mu(y));$$

$$e_\mu^*(x, y) = i((\mu(x) \rightarrow \mu(y)) \wedge (\mu(y) \rightarrow \mu(x))),$$

where  $e_A$  is the equivalence function on  $A$  defined as in Example 2.5 and

$$i : A \rightarrow A \otimes 1$$

is an interior operator on the poset  $(A, \leq)$  [2,10] defined by

$$i(a) = a \otimes 1, \forall a \in A.$$

Define also two functions

$$d_\mu, d_\mu^* : X \times X \rightarrow A \oplus 0$$

such that for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$d_\mu(x, y) = d_A(\mu(x), \mu(y));$$

$$d_\mu^*(x, y) = c((\mu(x) - \mu(y)) \vee (\mu(y) - \mu(x))),$$

where  $d_A$  is the distance function on  $A$  defined as in Example 2.5 and

$$c : A \rightarrow A \oplus 0$$

is a closure operator on the poset  $(A, \leq)$  [2,10] defined by

$$c(a) = a \oplus 0, \forall a \in A.$$

Then  $\mathcal{X}_\mu = (X, e_\mu, d_\mu)$  and  $\mathcal{X}_\mu^* = (X, e_\mu^*, d_\mu^*)$  are two  $\mathbf{A}$ -valued spaces with the same carrier set  $X$  such that the following conditions hold:

- $\mu \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{X}_\mu] \cap \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{X}_\mu^*]$ ;
- $\mathcal{X}_\mu < \mathcal{X}_\mu^*$ ;
- $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{X}]$  imply  $\mathcal{X} < \mathcal{X}_\mu^*$ .

The next Lemma presents conditions for a morphism to be monomorphism, epimorphism or isomorphism of the category  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$ .



### 3.8 Lemma

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, e_X, d_X)$ ,  $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, e_Y, d_Y) \in \underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$ . Then the following conditions hold in the category  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$  for all  $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ :

- (i)  $f$  is a *monomorphism* iff  $f$  is *injective*.
- (ii) If the function  $f$  is *surjective* then  $f$  is an *epimorphism*.
- (iii) If the function  $f$  is *bijective* then  $f$  is an *isomorphism* iff for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ :

$$(I1) e_X(x_1, x_2) = e_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2));$$

$$(I2) d_X(x_1, x_2) = d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)).$$

#### Proof

The condition 3.8 (ii) and the fact that if  $f$  is an injective function then  $f$  is a monomorphism are clear. Suppose that  $f$  is a monomorphism, but  $f$  is not an injective function i.e. for some  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , we have  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$  and  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Let  $Z = \{x_1, x_2\}$  and  $g, h : Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $g(z) = z$  and  $h(z) = x_1, \forall z \in Z$ . This implies that  $f \cdot g = f \cdot h$ . Let  $\mathcal{Z}[\Delta] = (Z, e_\Delta, d_\Delta)$  be the standard structure of  $\mathbf{A}$ -valued space associated with the identity relation  $\Delta$  on  $Z$  defined as in example 2.4. Using definition 3.1 one obtains that  $g, h \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{Z}[\Delta], \mathcal{X})$ . Then, from the property  $f \cdot g = f \cdot h$  and the condition that  $f$  is a monomorphism it follows that  $g = h$ , but the definitions of  $g$  and  $h$  imply  $g \neq h$ , contradiction. Thus, 3.8 (i) and 3.8(ii) hold. The condition 3.8(iii) follows from the fact that if  $f$  is a bijective function then the relations 3.8(I1) and 3.8 (I2) hold iff  $f^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ , where  $f^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$  is the inverse function of  $f$ .  $\square$

Now we show that the finite direct limits exist in the category  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$ . Concrete constructions of finite products and equalizers are given.

### 3.9 Finite direct limits

Let  $I$  be a nonempty finite set and

$$(\mathcal{X}_i = (X_i, e_i, d_i))_{i \in I}$$

be a family of objects in  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$ . Let

$$X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$$

be the cartesian product set of  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  and

$$(\pi_i : X \rightarrow X_i)_{i \in I}$$

be the family of canonical projections of  $X$ . Define two functions

$$e_X : X \times X \rightarrow A \otimes 1$$

and

$$d_X : X \times X \rightarrow A \oplus 0$$

such that for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$e_X(x, y) = \otimes_{i \in I} e_i(\pi_i(x), \pi_i(y))$$

and

$$d_X(x, y) = \oplus_{i \in I} d_i(\pi_i(x), \pi_i(y)).$$

Then the triplet

$$\mathcal{X} = (X, e_X, d_X)$$

is an object in  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$  such that

$$(\forall i \in I) \pi_i \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_i).$$

The system  $(\mathcal{X}, (\pi_i)_{i \in I})$  is a direct product of the family  $(\mathcal{X}_i)_{i \in I}$  in the category  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$ . This property is a consequence of the fact that for all  $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, e_Y, d_Y) \in \underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$ , if

$$(\forall i \in I) p_i \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}_i)$$

then there exists a unique function

$$f : Y \rightarrow X$$

defined by

$$(\forall y \in Y) (\forall i \in I) f(y)(i) = p_i(y)$$

such that

$$f \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$$

and

$$(\forall i \in I) \pi_i \cdot f = p_i.$$

Let  $\mathcal{I}[\Delta] = (I, e_\Delta, d_\Delta)$  be an object of  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$  associated with the identity relation  $\Delta$  on a set  $I$  having precisely one element. Then  $\mathcal{I}[\Delta]$  is a final object for  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$  i.e. the set  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{I}[\Delta])$  has precisely one element, for each  $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$ . It follows that the following condition holds:

- (1) Finite products exist in the category  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$ .

Now we show that:

- (2) Equalizers exist in the category  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$ .

Suppose that

$$f, g \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{X} = (X, e_X, d_X), \mathcal{Y} = (Y, e_Y, d_Y) \in \underline{S}[\mathbf{A}].$$

Define a subset  $Z$  of  $X$  by

$$Z = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = g(x)\}$$

and two functions

$$e_Z : Z \times Z \rightarrow A \otimes 1$$

and

$$d_Z : Z \times Z \rightarrow A \oplus 0$$

such that for all  $u, v \in Z$ :

$$e_Z(u, v) = e_X(u, v);$$

$$d_Z(u, v) = d_X(u, v).$$

Let  $\sigma : Z \rightarrow X$  be the inclusion map of  $Z$  in  $X$  i.e.  $(\forall z \in Z) \sigma(z) = z$ . Then

$$\mathcal{Z} = (Z, e_Z, d_Z) \in \underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$$

and the system  $(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma)$  is an equalizer of the couple  $(f, g)$  in the category  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$ .

From the properties (1) and (2) it follows that the following conditions hold:

- (3) *Finite direct limits and pullbacks exist in the category  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$ .*

A *complete biresiduated algebra* is any system  $\mathbf{A} \in \underline{\mathbf{BR}}$  such that  $(\mathbf{A}, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$  is a complete lattice.

The next result was established in [9] and it presents in a synthetical form new properties of the category  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$  if  $\mathbf{A}$  is a complete D-algebra.

### 3.10 Theorem

If  $\mathbf{A} \in \underline{\mathbf{D}}^*$  is a biresiduated algebra associated with a *complete D-algebra* then  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$  is a *cartesian closed category*.

Theorem 3.10 follows from 3.9(3) and the fact that for all  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$ , if  $\mathbf{A}$  is associated with a complete D-algebra then there exists a standard structure of  $\mathbf{A}$ -valued space on the set  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  and one can define a functor

$$E^{\mathcal{X}} = ( )^{\mathcal{X}} : \underline{S}[\mathbf{A}] \rightarrow \underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$$

as follows, for all  $\mathcal{Y} \in \underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$ ,  $g \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$  and  $f \in E^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{Y})$ :

- $E^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{Y}) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ ;
- $E^{\mathcal{X}}(g)(f) = g \cdot f$

such that the following condition holds:

- $E^{\mathcal{X}}$  is the *exponentiation functor* by  $\mathcal{X}$ .

The precedent condition means that  $E^{\mathcal{X}}$  is a *right adjoint of the functor direct product by  $\mathcal{X}$* ,

$$\Pi[\mathcal{X}] = ( ) \times \mathcal{X} : \underline{S}[\mathbf{A}] \rightarrow \underline{S}[\mathbf{A}].$$

If  $\mathbf{A}$  is associated with a complete D-algebra one can prove also that the *inverse limits exist in the category  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$* .

In order to elaborate a new interesting and comprehensive mathematical theory of fuzzy sets having an unitary logical foundation, different new ways to make the class  $\underline{S}[\mathbf{A}]$  into a category can be considered. For this purpose, a good source of inspiration is the elementary toposes theory together with complete  $\Omega$ -sets theory, where  $\Omega$  is a complete Heyting algebra [5]. A first goal is to identify an adequate class of many-valued mathematical models including sheaves. A completeness theorem with respect to these models also must be proved.

## REFERENCES

1. BALBES, R. and DWINGER, PH., **Distributive Lattices**, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI PRESS, 1974.
2. BOICESCU, V., FILIPOIU, A., GEORGESCU, G. and RUDEANU S., **Lukasiewicz-Moisil Agebras**, NORTH-HOLLAND, 1991.
3. CHANG, C. C., **Algebraic Analysis of Many-valued Logics**, TRANS. AMER. MATH. SOC. 88, 1958, pp. 467-490.
4. CIGNOLI, R., D'OTTAVIANO L. M. and MUNDICI, D., **Algebraic Foundations of Many-valued Reasoning**, KLUWER ACADEMIC PUBL., DORDRECHT.
5. FOURMAN, M. P. and SCOTT, D. A., **Sheaves and Logic**, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, Vol.753, 1979, pp. 302-401.
6. GOGUEN, J. A., **L-fuzzy sets**, JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS 18 (1967) 145-174.
7. NEGOITA, C. V. and RALESCU, D. A., **Simulation, Knowledge-base Computing and Fuzzy Statistics**, VAN NOSTRAND, 1987.
8. PONASSE, D. and CARREGA, J. C., **Algèbre et topologie booléennes**, MASSON, Paris, 1979.
9. SULARIA, M., **Contributions to the Study of Some Formal Systems from the Point of View of Algebraic Logic**, Ph. D dissertation, Babes-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 1986.
10. SULARIA, M., **A Logical System for Multicriteria Decision Analysis**, Studies in Informatics and Control, Vol. 7, No.3, September 1998, pp. 237-260.
11. TURUNEN, E., **Residuated Lattices in Fuzzy Logical Systems**, in T. Terano, M. Sugeno, M. Mukaidono and K. Shigemashu (Eds.) Fuzzy Engineering, Proceedings of the International Fuzzy Engineering Symposium'91, pp.60-69.
12. ZADEH, L.A., **Fuzzy Sets**, INFORMATION AND CONTROL, No. 8, 1965, pp.338-353.