Intelligent System for Assisting Decisions in Advanced Product and Process Planning and Design

C. V. Kifor, C. Oprean, D. D. M. Banciu

"Lucian Blaga" University of Sibiu 10 Victoriei Blv., 550024, Sibiu, Romania email: claudiu.kifor@ulbsibiu.ro

Abstract: The current planning and advanced design systems of the products and processes require a higher complexity and this necessitates more than a single designer to accomplish it. The collaboration and teamwork represent the optimal way by which all the activities linked to the decision process can be realized. The current decision-assistance systems are designed to improve the efficiency and the effectiveness of the decision-makers by facilitating the information exchange, the way the information is accessed and used, the diminution of the specific deficiencies related with the design activities, the key-presence of some members, the social pressure, the inhibition of expression and so on. The IDDesign project presented in this paper aims at improving the decision – making process in advanced product and process quality planning and design, from identifying customer requirements, product / process planning, to prototype validation and production. It is our purpose to use modern tools that are related to intelligent decision support systems, and integrate them in process / product planning in order to reduce or eliminate the existing deficiencies, such as the geographical distribution of meeting participants, the subjectivism, and the dominant characters of some members, the social pressure, and the constraints.

Keywords: Design, APQP, Collaborative Decisions, FMEA

Claudiu V. Kifor was born in Avrig, Sibiu county, Romania in 1970. BSc in Mechanical Engineering (1994), PhD in Industrial Engineering (2000) from "Lucian Blaga" University of Sibiu. Currently he is professor at Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu in the field of Quality Management and Engineering and also PhD advisor in Engineering and Management. He is author of 10 books and over 70 papers in the field of Industrial Engineering, Quality Management and Engineering. Mr. Kifor coordinated also 11 research projects in these fields. Invited professor at Aalbog University, Riga Teacher Training and Educational Management Academy, IUT Rennes.

Constantin Oprean was born in Spring, Alba County, Romania, in 1948. He graduated as an engineer in Mechanical Engineering in 1971, gained his doctorate in Industrial Engineering in 1985 at the Polytechnic University Bucharest. He became professor in 1978 and PhD adviser in 1985 at "*Lucian Blaga*" University of Sibiu (LBUS), Sibiu, Romania, after gaining experience as an engineer in the industrial production field between 1971 and 1978. In 1992, Prof. Oprean was promoted to the position of Vice - Rector for Quality Management and Scientific Research at LBUS. In 2004 he was elected as Rector of "Lucian Blaga" University of Sibiu. Prof. Oprean is a recognized specialist in the fields of quality management, university management, strategic management and technological transfer. Prof Constantin Oprean is a member of the editorial and advisory boards of many international journals, and he has written over 24 books/handbooks and published 276 scientific papers, 82 of them being presented at international conferences. He is the author of 24 inventions and has coordinated over 50 scientific research projects at the national and international levels.

Dorin D.M. Banciu obtained his BSc. in Transport Engineering from the Technical University "POLITEHNICA" of Bucharest in 1999 and his Master in Public Administration (MPA) from The National School of Political and Administrative Studies in Bucharest in 2003. He is currently a PhD student in Industrial Engineering at "Lucian Blaga" University of Sibiu, Romania. His research interests include: quality and strategic management, intelligent transport systems and traffic management.

1. Introduction and General Framework

Globalization tends to produce a new revolution leading industrial to unprecedented scale of production, product and service distribution. All countries and economic regions, even the emerging ones are affected, the competition becoming very harsh. There is a powerful development of knowledge-based economies, with radical effect on markets, society and technology. determines a new approach on This production, based on high added value and knowledge involvement at all levels.

The European Technology Platform on Future Manufacturing *Technologies* for 2020 (www.manufuture.org), referred to within Europa Report of the high level group, must restructure its related industry by taking over the new business models that might induce a new understanding of products and services functions. There is a proposition for remodelling the industrial processes in order to introduce new models of knowledge management and manufacturing technologies, to move from a cost based competition to a value added one. Similar approaches are to e the EpoSS platform. found also in http://www.smart-systems-integration.org/public

In the past years, the Romanian economy has undergone major changes, being oriented on "loan" based production, implying a reduced contribution of know-how. A lot of Romanian organizations have given up product planning, the project coming from the customer or being bought from specialized institutes in exchange for large sums of money. There are very few foreign companies coming to Romania that have also planning. research and development departments; the majority have oriented towards production, due to the law labour costs; their expected migration will leave an empty space that will be hard to fill.

Considering the international challenges, and the Romanian economic and research area realities, the Romanian research strategy for 2007 - 2013 defines the following strategic objectives: knowledge creation, increase in Romanian economy competitiveness, and increase in social welfare. These are the lines based on which the National Plan for Research, Development and Innovation II was set up, and the Partnership Programme has as a general objective: increase in RD competitiveness through stimulation of partnerships in leading areas, translated in innovative *technologies*, products and services for solving complex problems and creating implementation mechanisms.

The current planning and advanced design systems of the products and processes require a higher complexity and this necessitates more than a single designer to accomplish it. The collaboration and teamwork represent the optimal way by which all the activities linked to the decision process can be realized. The current decision-assistance systems are designed to improve the efficiency and the effectiveness of the decision-takers by facilitating the information exchange, the way the information is accessed and used, the diminution of the specific deficiencies related with the design activities, the key-presence of some members, the social pressure, the inhibition of expression and so on.

There are nowadays many information and distributed computing systems that have been built to support the collaborative design and decision-making process. According to their different purposes and/or focuses, these systems can be generally grouped into the following categories: collaborative product data / information management systems for engineers to timely obtain the necessary product data and knowledge; network-based collaborative design systems (webindependent and web-dependent); processcentered collaborative design and workflow management systems; conflict detection, management and resolution systems for collaborative design; flexibility and security collaborative focused design system; interoperability approaches in heterogeneous collaborative design systems. Since most of them are developed for the needs of collaborative design, current systems can assist designers in one way or another in collaboration. Of those collaborative design information and support systems, notable ones include SHARE, NEXTLINK, DIS, DICE, EDN, MADEFast, NIIIP, RaDEO, etc. Other typical proof-of-concept systems include NetFeature, CooperativeARCADE, WebSPIFF, CSCW-FeatureM, TOBACO, CyberCAD, etc. [7]. There are also a number of commercial software packages purporting to perform many of the services that the system described supplies. Examples include: Alibre (http://www.alibre.com), Co-Create (http://www.cocreate.com), NexPrise (http://www.netprise.com), CollabCAD (http://www.collabcad.com), etc. Modern product data management (PDM) systems such as PTC Windchill and UGS Teamcenter (iMAN) also provide some of the functionality described for collaborative design and development.

2. The IDDesign Project

The IDDesign project (Intelligent system for assisting decisions in advanced product and process planning and design *i*DDesign) is financed by National authority for scientific research, the Partnership programme, starting with September 2008. The project aims at improving the decision – making process occurring in product and process advanced planning and design, from stage of identifying the customer requirements, product / process planning, to prototype validation and production. It is our purpose to use modern tools that are related to intelligent decision support systems, and integrate them in process / product planning in order to reduce or eliminate the existing deficiencies, such as the geographical distribution of meeting participants, the subjectivism, and the dominant characters of some members, the social pressure, and the restraint.

The project is undertaken by a consortium made up of two universities, a SME with IT research profile, and a well known big automotive company. All partners have experience in international (FP5, FP6 and FP7) and national (CNCSIS, CEEX, PNCDI) projects, and have a long cooperation in research projects. The solution will be developed together by all the partners involved, and will be implemented at COMPA, the automotive company.

The project is approaching an interface domain between the planning and advanced design system of the product, processes and decision-assistance systems. The acquired results of the research in both of the domains, **independently studied**, are remarkable. We have realized that the application of the decision – support systems in planning and advanced design of the products and processes is still far from achieving the supposed results.

Some quality techniques extremely used especially in the automotive industry as FMEA, QFD, TRIZ, decision matrixes, value analyze and so on are basing their results effectively on the decisional process, but there are currently not completed and interfaced with the decision-assistance systems.

Figure 1 presents the advanced product and process quality planning and design and we located (using "D" letter) the areas where the decision occurs. The decision occurs starting with the initial stages of the customer requires identification and it continues to the stage when the product is in the prototype phase, respectively the validation of the 0 series.



Figure 1. Planning and advanced design process of the product and processes with decision fields

Studies in Informatics and Control, Vol. 18, No. 3, September 2009

In more than 90% of the cases the decision is still taken by the simple consent of the members of the group, respectively by vote, based on the intuition and the experience of the participants and often the reality (more exactly the panning process following stages as fabrication and the usage of the product) confirms that the decision was not a correct depend one. The reasons on the participants' subjectivism, the dominant character of some specific members, the impedimental access to great quantities of information to name just a few.

The innovation of the project we propose lays in the way in which the facilitation support is actively assisted by the system based on the users' intentional attitude. As in any group decision problem, the collaborative nature changes as the cooperation moves through the decision process. This emphasizes more the group dynamics towards concluding the final goal than the goal itself, as in common collaboration tools, the system-use more than the system itself. The application supports and structures the interaction concerning how to achieve the meeting goal in the situated context. Involving methods and tools inspired by the social and behavioural sciences, the users have the opportunity to intervene directly in the decisional process, evaluating and learning the consequences of their actions, and improving the practice and knowledge of the group.

Thus, the group is able to appropriate the available technology in its own spirit and not in the one imposed by the system designers. This significantly contributes towards extending the acceptance and understanding of collaborative technology.

The project is organized in six stages as follows: - analysis of the system requirements

- development of the specific IDDesign models
- system creation
- system validation
- technological transfer, installation and instruction
- dissemination, exploitation

In the first stage we described the decision process and also group decision support systems and also we identified and described the Planning and advanced design process of the product and processes from Compa. We are now working for the second stage, that is development of the specific IDDesign models.

3. Supporting Decisions in Advanced Product Quality Planning (APQP). Case study at COMPA

Advanced product quality planning is a structured method of defining and establishing the steps necessary to assure that a product satisfies the customer [1]. The goal of product quality planning is to facilitate communication with everyone involved to assure that all required steps are completed in time. The main principles of implementing APQP plan are [1]:

- organize the team: the supplier's first step in product quality planning is to assign responsibility to a cross functional team. Effective product quality planning requires the involvement of more than just the quality department. The initial team should include representatives from engineering, manufacturing, material control, purchasing, quality, sales, field service, subcontractors and customers, as appropriate;
- define the scope: it is important for the product quality planning team in the earliest stage of the product program to identify customer needs, expectations and requirements;
- team-to-team: the product quality planning team must establish lines of communication with other customer and supplier teams. This may include regular meetings with other teams. The extent of team-to-team concept is dependent upon the number of issues requiring a solution;
- training: the success of a product quality plan is dependent upon an effective training program that communicates all the requirements and development skills to fulfil customer needs and expectations;
- customer and supplier involvement: the primary customer may initiate the quality planning process with a supplier;
- concurrent engineering: it is a process where cross functional teams strive for a common goal. It replaces the sequential series of phases where results are transmitted to the next area for execution.

The purpose is to expedite the introduction of quality products sooner;

- control plans: control plans are written descriptions of the systems for controlling parts and processes. A separate control plan covers three distinct phases: prototype, prelaunch and production;
- concern resolution: during the planning process, the team will encounter product design and/or processing concerns. These concerns should be documented on a matrix with assigned responsibility and timing. Disciplined problem-solving methods are recommended in difficult situations;
- product quality timing plan: the product quality planning team's first task should be the development of a timing plan (see Figure 2). The type of product, complexity and customer expectations should be considered in selecting the timing elements that must be planned and charted;

manufactured at COMPA are: telescopic shock absorbers, brake equipments, elastic elements, stamped parts, drive shafts, steering gears, die casted parts, tools, etc. As a requirement of ISO / TS 16949:2009 standard (Quality management systems - Particular requirements for the application of ISO 9001:2008 for automotive production and relevant service part organizations) and also as the commitment to continually improve the quality of its products, COMPA has invested a lot of efforts in designing and manufacturing high quality products. The planning process at COMPA has the purpose to plan the product quality and its development stages in order to satisfy customer requirements, according to SR ISO/TS 16949:2009.

APQP is a very complex process and hard to be managed; for this reason we focused for the beginning on a part of this process - *design*



Figure. 2. Product quality timing plan

- plans relative to the timing chart: the success of any program depends on meeting customer needs and expectations in a timely manner at a cost that represents value.

Concurrent engineering performed by product and manufacturing engineering activities working concurrently is the driving force for error prevention.

COMPA is company producing parts for automotive industry, located in Sibiu, the center of Romania. The main products *activity analysis,* and used FMEA (Failure Mode and Effect Analysis) technique (please identify this process on Figure 1).

Failure mode and effects analysis (FMEA) is a methodology to evaluate a system, design, process or service for possible ways in which failures (problems, errors, risks and concerns) can occur [10]. FMEA was first developed as a formal design methodology in the 1960s by the aerospace industry with their obvious reliability and safety requirements. It represents a powerful and documented method for engineers to present in a structural and formalized manner with their subjective thinking and experience in terms of three main questions: What might go wrong? What might cause it to wrong? And what effect would it have? [12]. FMEA is a **group decision function** and cannot be done on an We have created a FMEA process model in order to better emphasize its steps, the functions involved and also the decisions fields. Such a model created using iGrafx for six sigma 2003 is presented in Figure 3 [10].



Figure 3. The FMEA process

individual basis. The FMEA team often demonstrates different opinions and knowledge from one team member to another and produces different types of assessment information such as complete and incomplete, precise and imprecise and known and unknown because of its cross-functional and multidisciplinary nature [2, 10]. The part selected for analysis is an element of the drive shafts which is manufactured on a CNC machine at COMPA. In table 1 a description of the process is presented; process function, product and process characteristics and specification are all information needed in subsequent phases of FMEA.

Process ID	Function ID Operation description	Product characteristic	Process characteristic	Specifications and / or tolerances			
1a	Receiving / inspection		Part identification	Operator must insure material label is correct			
2a	Transfer part		Part position	Part placed in proper position			
3a	Transfer part		Correct part	Part marked and located in correct bin			
4a	Drill hole on front side	Hole location		5.680 - 7.433			
5a			First pass depth. of hole	0.10			
6a			First pass diam. of hole	2.224+ / - 0.20			
7a			Circularity of hole	0.0025			
8a			Circular runout	0.10			
9a		Final diam. of hole		3.823 + / - 0.20			
10a		Final depth of hole		Thru			

Table 1. Description of the process

Figure 4 is presenting the FMEA analysis for this product; the analysis was done in traditional way just to have a common understanding for the whole team.

In the next stages we plan to integrate in decision processes the iGDSS platform [12].

the principles of GDSS, interactive software and related development technique.

As presented in Figure 5, IGDSS is composed of the following: a) a main server which supports the basic functions and manages decisional process logic; b) a relational

🔗 Rektron FMEA 3.0 - Technical University of Cluj Napoca , Cluj Napoca - Project: Process FMEA (UK) - 123														
Elle Edit Project View System Window Help														
2 % ☞ ■ 参 Q, % % % @ Q, % % @ R ⋈ @ R ⋈ & Q @ V ④ ? *														
- Î È	🔁 Project: Process FMEA (UK) - 123													
1	123													
	Process FMEA													
	PartNo.: (M1/21 PartName: Foture FMEA.Status:/Work Created by: ABC													
	Process Phase/Function	Failure mode	Effect(s) of failure	Se	Cause(s) of failure	Oc	Current Control(s)	De	Recom. Action(s)	Action(s) taken				
	1. Receiving / inspection							_						
	Process Phase/Function	Failure mode	Effect(s) of failure	Se	Cause(s) of failure	Oc	Current Control(s)	De	Recom. Action(s)	Action(s) taken				
	 2. Transfer part Process Phase/Function 	Failure mode	Effect(s) of failure	Se	Cause(s) of failure	Oc	Current Control(s)	De	Recom. Action(s)	Action(s) taken				
	3. Drill hole on front side Process Phase/Function	Failure mode	Effect(s) of failure	Se	Cause(s) of failure	Oc	Current Control(s)	De	Recom. Action(s)	Action(s) taken				
	Drill hole on front side	Hole missing	Loss of assembly	7	Premature tool wear	5	Gage 2 Parts per Start of shift	4 RPN	Se=7 Oc=3 De=4 RPN ABC 3/21/2003	after action=84				
			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	140	1					
		Off location	No assembly. Rework	5	Nonevent	1	Gage 2 parts per start of shift	3 RPN 15	Se=5 Oc=1 De=3 RPN 3/30/2003	after action=15				
		Diameter too wide	Impairs next operation rework, scrap part	n, 5	Premature tool wear	3	Audit setup at every tool change	5 RPN 75	Se=5 Oc=2 De=4 RPN Process 4/23/2003 0 / No 0 o gage 2	after action=40				
		Out of round	Leaks, safety issue, rework, impairs next operation	10	Improper machine setup	7	Check the first part after all changes, adjustments, and /	4 RPN 280	Se=10 Oc=6 De=4 RPI Process 4/24/2003 Go / No gage 2 parts	after action=240				
		Depth too shallow	Rework impairs next operation. Loss of assembly srap parts.	5	Improper speed setting	3	Inspect first part after any abnormal occurence in the	6 RPN 90	Se=5 Oc=3 De=7 RPN Process 4/24/2003 Go / No Go gage 2	after action=105				
		Diameter too wide	Impairs next operation Loss of assembly srap parts	n. 5	Improper machine setup	3	Audit setup at every tool change	4 RPN 60	Se=5 Oc=3 De=4 RPN Process 4/25/2003 Go / No Go gage 2	after action=60				
l														
For H	elp, press F1	For Help, press F1 Process FMEA (UK)												

Figure 4. The FMEA analysis

iGDSS is a collaborative decision – making support system developed in the framework of project Ceex 23 / 2006 – eCollaborativeDecisions and it is based on database (Postgre, MySQL, SQL Server, or Oracle); c) the web server that runs the user interface; d) the collaborative



Studies in Informatics and Control, Vol. 18, No. 3, September 2009

platform (which communicates with the main server through CORBA); e) the iGDSS tools. The entire solution is built using Java J2EE solution.

4. Conclusions and Future Developments

The IDDesign project presented in this paper aims at improving the decision occurring in product and process advanced planning and from identifying design. customer requirements, product / process planning, to prototype validation and production. It is our purpose to use modern tools that are related to intelligent decision support systems, and integrate them in process / product planning in order to reduce or eliminate the existing deficiencies. such as the geographical distribution of meeting participants, the subjectivism, and the dominant characters of some members, the social pressure, and the restraint. We identified the 1st stage of the project, the decision fields from APOP process and we are working now to integrate iGDSS in the FMEA process.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The paper was published with support of ANCS / CNMP – Partnership Programme, 12092 / 2008.

REFERENCES

- BOBREK, M., M. SOKOVIC, Implementation of APQP-concept in Design of QMS, Journal of Materials Processing Technology 162–163, 2005, pp. 718–724.
- CHIN, K. S., Y. M. WANG, G. POON, J. B. YAN, Failure Mode and Effects Analysis Using a Group-based Evidential Reasoning Approach, Computers & Operations Research 36, 2009, pp. 1768 – 1779.
- 3. DALE, B. G., Managing Quality, Massachusetts: Blackwell Publishers Ltd, 1999.
- 4. EVANS, J. R. et. al., **The Management and Control of Quality**, Ohio: South Western Thomson Learning, 2002.

- KIFOR, C. et. al., Ingineria calității. Imbunătățirea şase sigma, Sibiu, Editura Universității Lucian Blaga, 2006.
- KIFOR, C. V., C. OPREAN, S. NEGULESCU, L. LOBONŢ, Decision Support System for Quality Assurance in Higher Education, Proceedings of the 3rd North East Asia International conference on Engineering and technology education, Taichung, Taiwan, 2008, pp. 201-208.
- MEJIA, R., A. LOPEZ, A. MOLINA, Experiences in Developing Collaborative Engineering Environments: An action research approach, Computers in Industry 58 (2007) 329–346.
- 8. OAKLAND, J., **TQM. Text with cases**, Oxford, Butterworth Heinemann, 2000.
- 9. OPREAN, C., C. V. KIFOR, **Quality Management**, Callidus Publishing House, Germany, 2008.
- 10. OPREAN, C., C.V. KIFOR, **FMEA as a Quality Management Tool**, Proceeding of the Conference: Management of technological Change, Chania-Creta, Grecia, 2005.
- OPREAN, C., C. V KIFOR, S. NEGULESCU, I. MOISIL, Decision Support System (DSS) for Higher Education Institutions. Part 1. General Overview, Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Engineering and Business Education (ICEBE), Wismar, Germany, 2008.
- 12. SEYED-HOSSEINI, S.M., N. SAFAEI, M. J. ASGHARPOUR, Reprioritization of Failures in a System Failure Mode and Effects Analysis by Decision Making Trial and Evaluation Laboratory Technique, Reliability Engineering and System Safety 91, 2006.
- 13. * * * ISO/TS 16949:2009- Quality management systems — Particular requirements for the application of ISO 9001:2008 for automotive production and relevant service part organizations.
- 14. * * * **iGrafx for six sigma 2003**, iGrafx OrgCharter Extension 1.24.
- 15. * * * **Project Ceex 23 / 2006** eCollaborativeDecisions http://www.cedc.ro/pages/romana/proiect e/ecollaborative-decision.php)