1. Introduction

Induction Motor (IM) admits a strongly nonlinear dynamic model. It constitutes an important research field for the synthesis of advanced control. The IM is characterized by a simple mechanical construction, better efficiency, low costs and robust model. Different control laws are developed for this type of system such as Field Oriented Control (FOC), Direct Torque Control (DTC), Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC), Neural Networks Control (NNC) and Sliding Mode Control (SMC) [1, 5, 12]. Specially, the latter is widespread in the last decades. This type of control is easy tuning and simple algorithm. As well, it is robust to parameter variations and uncertainties.

The sliding mode control is mainly used for different applications in continuous-time case [6, 11, 19]. However, the development of digital microprocessor technology creates the digital control systems. Despite the advantages which digital control provides, however their integration to control the IM of a little interest, because the sampled-time model of the IM presents a difficulty regardless sampling rates. Indeed, one can find only a few structures based on Euler method for Discrete-time Sliding Mode Control (DSMC) proposed in literature [2, 7, 8].

Furuta proposed, in 1990, a DSMC for second order linear systems. In this approach, the chattering phenomenon is reduced and the system stability is proved using Lyapunov function [10].

Ortega and Taoutaou presented, in 1996, a discrete-time controller for current fed induction motor, [13]. In 1997, another discrete-time control for current fed IM was developed, [17]. Proca et al. studied, in [14], the advantages of DSMC algorithm using the equivalent control approach. Using the model presented in [13], a discrete time model was completed in [2] for voltage fed IM. This approach based on approximating the stator current dynamics used an explicit Euler method. In this method, the sliding surfaces are chosen as the error between the selected variable and its reference. The effectiveness of the applied control is shown only via simulations. The implementation of digital controller based on equivalent control approach was presented in [17]. In 2010, a modified version of Gao’s reaching law is designed and implemented [18]. In [20], an active disturbance rejection control for IM is designed in discrete-time setting. Recently, in [8] Dominguez developed two discrete-time control schemes for IM using DSMC technique. The first controller is based on equivalent control method and the second one uses a discrete-time equivalent super twisting algorithm.

The aim of this paper is to design and implement a simple DSMC to an IM allowing high static and dynamic performances, in terms of perturbation rejection and tracking errors. Compared to the work presented in [8], the switching surfaces of the proposed DSMC law
are designed by adding an integral action of the tracking errors.

This technique allows to reduce the torque oscillations and to ensure a high accuracy of control subject to parametric variations and uncertainties.

The stability of the proposed control law is investigated according to Lyapunov stability theory.

The paper is organized as follows: the problem statement is presented in section 2, where the control problem is developed. The DSMC is elaborated in section 3. Section 4 describes the stability analysis. Real-time implementation using dSpace DS1104 card based on real-time data acquisition control system, and experimental results are investigated in section 5. Finally, in order to demonstrate the performances of the proposed control, the discrete integral sliding mode of the tracking errors is compared to conventional discrete-time Proportional Integral (PI).

2. Problem Statement

In [8], two discrete-time control schemes are proposed to drive the IM. In this work, Dominguez designed the first controller based on the discrete-time equivalent control method and the second controller used a discrete-time equivalent super twisting algorithm.

Figures 12 (a), 12(c), 14(a), 14(c), 16(a) and 16(c) show the real-time results of the rotor speed profile with sampling time equal to 250µs, 750µs and 1250µs, respectively [6]. Indeed, for a reference speed equal to 100rad/s, in all Figures 12-(a), 14-(a) and 16-(a), for 9s ≤ t < 11s , the settling time is equal to 2s. Figures 12-(c), 14-(c) and 16-(c) show a considerable error between the rotor speed and the reference.

We remark that if the sampling time increases, the representative errors become important. Compared to the results presented above, in this work, we propose a simple discrete integral sliding mode control. The developed approach guarantees a good tracking speed and reduces torque oscillations with short settling time and zero steady state error using a sampling time equal to 250µs.

The proposed Indirect Field Oriented Control (IFOC) is oriented along the d-axis. That means \( \varphi_{rd} = \varphi_r \) and \( \varphi_{rq} = 0 \). The discrete-time state space model equations of the induction motor (IM) in (d-q) reference stationary frame is presented by the following system equations [15]:

\[
\begin{align*}
    i_{sd}(k+1) & = i_{sd}(k) - Te \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \tau_s} + \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma \tau_r} \right) i_{sd}(k) \\
    & \quad + \frac{Te(1-\sigma)}{\sigma M_{sr} \tau_r} \varphi_{rd}(k) \\
    & \quad + \frac{Te(1-\sigma)}{\sigma M_{sr}} \varphi_{rq}(k) w(k) \\
    & \quad + \frac{Te}{\sigma L_s} V_{sd}(k) \\
    i_{sq}(k+1) & = i_{sq}(k) - Te w_{dq} i_{sd}(k) \\
    & \quad - Te \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \tau_s} + \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma \tau_r} \right) i_{sq}(k) \\
    & \quad - \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma M_{sr}} w(k) \varphi_{rd}(k) \\
    & \quad + \frac{Te(1-\sigma)}{\sigma M_{sr} \tau_r} \varphi_{rq}(k) \\
    & \quad + \frac{Te}{\sigma L_s} V_{sq}(k)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    \varphi_{rd}(k+1) & = \varphi_{rd}(k) + \frac{Te}{\tau_r} M_{sr} i_{sd}(k) \\
    & \quad - \frac{Te}{\tau_r} \varphi_{rd}(k) - w(k) \varphi_{rq}(k) \\
    \varphi_{rq}(k+1) & = \varphi_{rq}(k) + \frac{Te}{\tau_r} M_{sr} i_{sq}(k) \\
    & \quad + w(k) \varphi_{rd}(k) - \frac{Te}{\tau_r} \varphi_{rq}(k) \\
    w(k+1) & = w(k) + \frac{3}{2} \frac{M_{sr}^2}{L_r} (\varphi_{rd}(k) i_{sq}(k) \\
    & \quad - \varphi_{rq}(k) i_{sd}(k)) - \frac{Te}{\sigma} (C_r(k) - C_f(k))
\end{align*}
\]

\( i_{sd}, i_{sq} \) = d-, q-axis stator current components; \( \varphi_{rd}, \varphi_{rq} \) = d-, q-axis rotor flux components; \( V_{sd}, V_{sq} \) = d-, q-axis stator voltage components; \( w_r, w_{dq} \) = rotor and synchronous angular speed; \( M_{sr} \) = cyclic mutual inductance stator-rotor; \( L_s, L_r \) = stator and rotor self-inductances; \( \tau_s, \tau_r \) = stator and rotor time constant; \( \sigma \) = leakage coefficient; \( np = \)

---

pole-pair number \( n_{p} \); \( j \) = inertia; \( C_{f} \) = friction torque; \( C_{r} \) = load torque; \( T_{e} \) = sampling time.

The parameters of the induction motor are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1. Induction Motor Parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Notations</th>
<th>Rating Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stator resistance</td>
<td>( R_{s} )</td>
<td>2.3( \Omega )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor resistance</td>
<td>( R_{r} )</td>
<td>1.83( \Omega )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator self-inductance</td>
<td>( L_{s} )</td>
<td>261mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor self-inductance</td>
<td>( L_{r} )</td>
<td>261mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutual inductance</td>
<td>( M_{sr} )</td>
<td>245mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertia</td>
<td>( j )</td>
<td>0.03kgm(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friction coefficient</td>
<td>( f )</td>
<td>0.002Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole-pair number</td>
<td>( n_{p} )</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated power</td>
<td>( P_{n} )</td>
<td>3kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated voltage</td>
<td>( V_{n} )</td>
<td>220V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Discrete-time Sliding Mode control Design**

In this section, the system is presented via an experimental test bloc, figure 1. Indeed, the designed system is constituted by three phase IM (Unit 1) powered by an inverter (Unit 2). The IM is loaded by magnetic powder brake (Unit 3). The control strategy is implemented in real-time with a DS1104 controller Board (Unit 4), based on the interface control desk (Unit 5) in computer (Unit 6). The communication between the computer and the dSpace Microcontroller card is performed in real time with the communication protocol UART (Universal Asynchronous Receiver Transmitter), RS232, RS422 and RS485 [9, 16].

The control strategy is designed in discrete-time form, based on the integral sliding mode technique. The latter is constituted by three control loops namely: speed controller, direct stator current controller and quadratic stator current controller, figure 2.

![ Bloc diagram of experimental Test system](http://www.sic.ici.ro)

The switching surfaces related to these controllers presented above are firstly designed in discrete-time with respect to the novel form. Then, the control law is secondly described.

**Figure 2.** Discrete time sliding mode control

3.1. **Sliding Surfaces Design**

The selection of switching surface defining the representative control law can be described by:

\[
\begin{align*}
    s_{w} &= c_{1} e_{w} \quad \text{with} \quad e_{w} = w^{*} - w \quad (6) \\
    s_{d} &= c_{2} e_{d} \quad \text{with} \quad e_{d} = i_{sd}^{*} - i_{sd} \quad (7)
\end{align*}
\]
\[ s_q = c_3 \varepsilon_q \quad \text{with} \quad \varepsilon_q = i_{sq}^* - i_{sq} \quad (8) \]

where

\( c_1, c_2 \) and \( c_3 \) are positive gains.

To obtain a high performance system and a good tracking error, with zero steady state error and a fast response time, an integral action is added to the switching surfaces which can be expressed by:

\[ s_w = c_4 \varepsilon_w + c_4 \int \varepsilon_w \, dt \quad (9) \]

\[ s_d = c_5 \varepsilon_d + c_5 \int \varepsilon_d \, dt \quad (10) \]

\[ s_q = c_6 \varepsilon_q + c_6 \int \varepsilon_q \, dt \quad (11) \]

with

\( c_4, c_5 \) and \( c_6 \) positive gains.

Referring to the Euler discretization technique, the Eqs. (9), (10) and (11) are written as:

\[ s_w(k+1) - s_w(k) = c_4(\varepsilon_w(k+1) - \varepsilon_w(k)) + T e c_4 \varepsilon_w(k) \quad (12) \]

\[ s_d(k+1) - s_d(k) = c_5(\varepsilon_d(k+1) - \varepsilon_d(k)) + T e c_5 \varepsilon_d(k) \quad (13) \]

\[ s_q(k+1) - s_q(k) = c_6(\varepsilon_q(k+1) - \varepsilon_q(k)) + T e c_6 \varepsilon_q(k) \quad (14) \]

Once the switching surfaces are selected for generating the global control, this involves the determination of control law related to each switching surfaces. It is necessary to determine the control for three controllers defined in figure 5.

Referring to the definition of sliding mode, each control is presented by the sum of an equivalent component and a nonlinear one. For the following section, we detail the representative controllers.

### 3.1.1. Speed Controller

The time derivative of Eq. (9) is:

\[ \dot{s}_w = c_4 \dot{\varepsilon}_w + c_4 \varepsilon_w \quad (15) \]

The discrete-time switching surface relative to Eq. (15) is given by:

\[ s_w(k+1) - s_w(k) = T e[c_4(\varepsilon_w(k+1) - \varepsilon_w(k)) - \frac{(w^*(k+1) - w^*(k))}{T e} - (w(k+1) - w(k))] \quad (16) \]

It follows from Eq. (16) that:

\[ s_w(k+1) - s_w(k) = c_1(w^*(k+1) - w^*(k)) - (w(k+1) - w(k)) + T e c_1(w^*(k) - w(k)) \quad (17) \]

Substituting Eq. (5) in Eq. (17), we get:

\[ s_w(k+1) - s_w(k) = -Q_w T e s_w(k) - K_w T e \text{sign}(s_w(k)) \quad (19) \]

where

\( Q_w \) and \( K_w \) are positive gains.

Imposing equality between the Eqs. (18) and (19), it leads to:

\[ c_1 w^*(k+1) - c_1 w^*(k) - c_1 w^*(k) \]

\[ -c_1 T e \frac{3 n p^2 M_w}{2 j L_r} (\varphi_{rd}(k)) i_{sq}(k) \]

\[ +c_1 T e \frac{n p}{j} (C_r(k) - C_f(k)) + T e c_1 w^*(k) - T e c_4 w(k) = -Q_w T e s_w(k) - K_w T e \text{sign}(s_w(k)) \quad (20) \]

So, the global control relative to the speed controller is defined by:

\[ i_{sq}(k) = \frac{2 j L_r}{3 c_1 T e n p M_w \varphi_{rd}(k)} \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix} c_1 w^*(k+1) - c_1 w^*(k) + c_1 T e \frac{n p}{j} (C_r(k) - C_f(k)) + T e c_4 w^*(k) - T e c_4 w(k) + Q_w T e s_w(k) + K_w T e \text{sign}(s_w(k)) \end{bmatrix} \quad (21) \]
3.1.2. Direct Stator Current Controller

The derivative of Eq. (10) is given as follows:

$$\dot{s}_d = c_2 \dot{e}_d + c_3 e_d$$  (22)

Basing on the Euler method, the Eq. (22) is given in the following form:

$$s_d(k+1) - s_d(k) = \frac{Te}{c_2} \left[ i_{sd}^*(k+1) - i_{sd}(k) \right]$$  (23)

Replacing Eq. (1) in Eq. (23), we obtain:

$$s_d(k+1) - s_d(k) = c_2 i_{sd}^*(k+1) - c_2 i_{sd}(k)$$  (24)

The nonlinear component is written as:

$$s_d(k+1) - s_d(k) = c_2 i_{sd}^*(k+1) - c_2 i_{sd}(k)$$  (25)

$$Q_d$$ and $$K_d$$ are positive gains.

3.1.3. Quadratic Stator Current Controller

The derivative representative equation defining the switching function relative to the quadratic stator current is expressed by:

$$\dot{s}_q = c_4 \dot{e}_q + c_5 e_q$$  (27)

The Euler discretization of Eq. (27) is as follows:

$$s_q(k+1) - s_q(k) = c_4 i_{sq}^*(k+1) - c_4 i_{sq}(k)$$  (28)

Using Eq. (2) in Eq. (28), we have:

$$s_q(k+1) - s_q(k) = c_4 i_{sq}^*(k+1) - c_4 i_{sq}(k)$$  (29)

The reaching law is given in representative form:

$$s_q(k+1) - s_q(k) = -Q_q Te s_q(k) - K_q Te \text{sign}(s_q(k))$$  (30)

$$Q_q$$ and $$K_q$$ are positive gains.

The global control is summarized as follows:

$$V_{sq}(k) = \frac{\sigma L_q}{c_3 Te} \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} -c_3 & -c_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -c_3 & -c_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -c_3 & -c_3 \\ 0 & 0 & -c_3 & -c_3 \end{array} \right]$$  (31)

4. Stability Analysis

In this section, let us consider the following Lyapunov function in order to involve the system stability [3, 4, 7]. We consider the relation $$\|u(k)\| \leq u_{\text{max}}$$, the control is defined by:
\[ u(k) = \begin{cases} u(k)_{eq} & \text{for } \|u(k)_{eq}\| \leq u(k)_{\max} \\ \frac{u(k)_{eq}}{\|u(k)\|} u(k)_{\max} & \text{for } \|u(k)_{eq}\| > u(k)_{\max} \end{cases} \tag{32} \]

Wherein \( u(k)_{eq} \) the equivalent control, \( u(k)_{\max} \) a bound control and \( \| \cdot \| \) a vector norm.

So, each controller developed above must be stable to ensure the system stability.

**Speed Controller**

Rewrite the equation (18) as follows:
\[ s_w(k + 1) = s_w(k) + G(k) + H(k)u(k) \tag{33} \]
where \( G(k) = c_1 w^* (k + 1) - c_1 w^* (k) + c_1 T e \frac{np}{f} (C_r (k) - C_f (k)) + T e c_4 w^*(k) - T e c_4 w(k) \tag{34} \)
and \( H(k) = -c_1 T e \frac{3 np^2}{2} \frac{M_{sr}}{L_r} \phi_{eq}(k) \tag{35} \)

Along any solution of Eq. (33), the increment of the Lyapunov function \( V(k) = \|s_w(k)\| \) is given by:
\[ \Delta V(k) = \|s_w(k + 1)\| - \|s_w(k)\| \tag{36} \]
\[ = \|s_w(k) + G(k)(1 - \frac{i_{sq,eq}}{i_{sq,eq}}) - \|s_w(k)\| \tag{37} \]
\[ \leq \|s_w(k) + G(k)(1 - \frac{i_{sq,eq}}{i_{sq,eq}}) - \|s_w(k)\| \tag{38} \]
\[ \leq \|s_w(k) + G(k) - H(k)i_{sq,eq}\| - \|s_u(k)\| \tag{39} \]

The stability analysis is carried out under the following assumption:

(A.1) The value \( u_{\max} \) is such as:
\[ u_{\max} \geq -\frac{1}{H(k)} \|G(k)\| (1 + \epsilon) \tag{40} \]
for an \( \epsilon > 0 \), for all \( w(k) \), \( \phi(k) \) and for all admisible functions \( w(k) \).

From the equations (36)-(39), we get:
\[ 0 \leq 1 - \frac{i_{sq,eq}}{i_{sq,eq}} \leq 1 - \frac{i_{sq,\text{max}}}{i_{sq,\text{eq}}} \tag{41} \]
where \( \|i_{sq,\text{eq}}\| = -\frac{1}{H(k)} \|s_u(k) + G(k)\| \)

Under the assumption (A.1), it is possible to determine:
\[ \|s_u(k) + G(k) - H(k)i_{sq,\text{max}}\| = \|s_u(k)\| \tag{42} \]
After the condition (42), when \( \|s_u(k)\| \) decreases monotonically, the \( \|i_{sq,\text{eq}}\| \) decreases monotonically. It exists an instant \( k_i \) that \( \|i_{sq,\text{eq}}\| \leq i_{sq,\text{max}} \) for all \( k \geq k_i \).

The same stability analysis is given for the direct and quadratic current controller.

**5. Real-time Implementation and Experimental Results**

The effectiveness of the proposed Discrete-time Integral Sliding Mode Control (DISMC) of the IM was implemented using MATLAB/ Simulink and dSpace DS1104 real-time controller board. This one integrates a MOTOROLA Power PC603e model, and digital signal processing (TMS320F240-20 MHz). The experimental platform was shown in figure 3. It is made of three parts:

![Experimental Test System](http://www.sic.ici.ro)

- An inverter with an architecture based on a SEMIKRON IGBT.
- A three phase IM loaded by a magnetic powder brake.
- A control algorithm is implemented in real-time dSpace card DS1104.

The Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) technique presented a sinusoidal form with a switching frequency equal to 3 kHz and a dead time of 2\( \mu \)s for the three level inverter.
5.1 Experimental Results of DISMC
To validate the effectiveness of the proposed DISMC, experimental test system is performed with a nominal reference rotor flux equal to 0.8Wb.

The reference speed starts in 0r/min for $0 \leq t < 1s$, and it increases to 1500r/min for $1s \leq t < 15s$.

The load torque starts with 0Nm for $0 \leq t < 4.5s$. Then, at 10Nm for $4.5s \leq t < 10s$ and comes back 0Nm for $10s \leq t < 15s$.

Figure 4 presents the rotor speed profile using a sampling period 250µs. Figure 5 shows the zoom. The latter illustrates a good tracking with the reference especially in the insertion of the load torque. This allows a good tracking performance due to the addition of integral action with a fast response time equal to 0.8s and without overshoot.

Figure 6 illustrates the electromagnetic torque profile. It starts without overshoot and with a minimal reduction chattering, the zoom is in figure 7. It is clear that the proposed controller reached the desired reference in a finite time and eliminated the disturbance with minimal oscillations.

Figures 8, 9 and 10 show respectively the three switching surfaces related to the three controllers such as speed controller, direct stator current controller and quadratic stator current controller. It’s clear that each characteristic converges to zero with minimal peak start up. This proves the criteria of sliding mode.

5.2 Comparative Study
In order to show the advantages and the effectiveness of the proposed approach compared to other control techniques, we compare the Integral DSMC with firstly discrete-time conventional Proportional Integral (PI) and secondly with the approach presented above in Section 2.
5.2.1. Comparison of the proposed approach with discrete-time conventional PI.

Comparing the found results with other control techniques applied to the same studied system, we modify the three discrete-time sliding mode controller by three discrete-time conventional Proportional Integral (PI).

Figures 11 and 12 show experimental results for both the electromagnetic torque and the rotor speed responses with discrete-time conventional PI technique. These Figures are tuned in the same test conditions presented by our approach. The load torque starts with 0Nm for $0s \leq t < 6s$ then, at 10Nm for $6s \leq t < 12s$ and comes back 0Nm for $12s \leq t < 15s$.

Figure 11 represents the variation of the electromagnetic torque. It’s clear that the use of the classical PI introduces a considerable chattering. Also, the torque starts with a peak equal to 20Nm. However, in our method the chattering was reduced and the peak start-up is 15Nm. The torque oscillations have an influence on the waveform of the speed, figure 12. It represents an oscillation in 1s. With the insertion of the load torque, the speed profile decreases about 50rad/s, but in our method it decreases about 15rad/s.

The effectiveness of the proposed integral sliding mode in terms of chattering reduction, robustness and nominal steady state error are experimentally validated, table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tracking</th>
<th>DISMC</th>
<th>Discrete-time conventional PI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chattering (torque)</td>
<td>$2Nm$</td>
<td>$5Nm$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chattering (speed)</td>
<td>Without</td>
<td>Considerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settling time (speed)</td>
<td>0.8s</td>
<td>1.1s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settling time (torque)</td>
<td>0.2s</td>
<td>0.8s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overshoot (speed)</td>
<td>$&lt;1%$</td>
<td>$&lt;4%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak start-up (torque)</td>
<td>15Nm</td>
<td>20Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak start-up (speed)</td>
<td>Without</td>
<td>$&lt;3%$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.2. Comparison of the proposed approach with the control law developed by Rivera

Compared to the results presented in [6], especially for the rotor speed, the proposed discrete integral sliding mode technique presents the following advantages:

- Simple.
- Admits a fast response: the rise time is 0.6s.
- Good settling time equal to 0.8s.

This confirms the effectiveness of the integral DSMC using the integral of tracking errors, table 3.
6. Conclusion

In this work, the designed control is developed by using an integral discrete-time sliding mode technique, based on tracking errors and Lyapunov stability analysis. Indeed, the discretization of the control system is based on Euler method. Besides, the proposed discrete-time integral sliding mode control is a simple modelling control compared to the work presented by Dominguez. Also, the considered control law was compared with discrete-time conventional PI.

Real-time results showed that the discrete integral sliding mode still performs better than other approaches such as Dominguez control law and conventional PI. Indeed, integral DSMC technique presents a fast response, a good tracking error regardless disturbances and a reduction to chattering.
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Table 3. Comparison of the proposed integral DSMC with the approach presented in [6]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Our Approach</th>
<th>Approach presented in [6]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic response</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexity</td>
<td>Simple (One control)</td>
<td>High (Two control)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robustness</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settling time</td>
<td>0.8s</td>
<td>2s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rise time</td>
<td>0.6s</td>
<td>1.8s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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